

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/772,157	01/29/2001	Edward F. Tokas	1R-2588(ET)C1P	8701
75	90 06/11/2003			
Lord Corporation Attn: Miles B. Dearth Legal & Patent Services, 111 Lord Drive			EXAMINER	
			KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
Po Box 8012				
Cary, NC 275	12-8012		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~	W-10				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/772,157	TOKAS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a color within the statutory minimum of the lambda will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			S				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the application	ın						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		e.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) 1-48 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•					
Certified copies of the priority documer							
2. Certified copies of the priority documer							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/772,157

Art Unit: 1733

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-33, drawn to a method for bonding, classified in class 156, subclass 325.
 - II. Claims 34-37, drawn to a manufactured article, classified in class 428, subclass 296.4.
 - III. Claims 38-48, drawn to a method for coating, classified in class 427, subclass 299+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the article as claimed could be made by another materially different process such as one in which the metathesis polymerizable material is applied with the catalyst rather than having the catalyst already on the substrate surface.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Art Unit: 1733

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the particulars of the bonding process of the combination - namely bonding the fibrous surface to a substrate, provides evidence that the sole distinguishing novelty in the combination does not rest with the particulars of the subcombination coating method (i.e. even if a coating method as claimed were not patentable, it may still not be obvious to bond fibers coated in this manner - evidence therefore is considered to exist that the sole distinguishing novelty in the claimed bonding method may not rest with the particulars of the subcombination coating). The subcombination has separate utility such as in coating fibers that are not to be bonded to a substrate (e.g. fibers to be used for clothing, etc.).

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A1: catalyst applied to the fibrous substrate;
 - A2: catalyst being included as a component of the fibrous substrate.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/772,157

Art Unit: 1733

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/772,157

Art Unit: 1733

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 703-

308-2062. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael W. Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310

for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0651.

Geoffrey J. Knable

1. Jul

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1733

G. Knable June 6, 2003